

CorHealth Ontario

Advancing cardiac, stroke and vascular care

Ontario Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Patient Eligibility Criteria Guidelines & Facility Quality Criteria Revised April 2021

Table of Contents

3

About CorHealth Ontario

3

Ontario Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Patient Eligibility Criteria Guidelines

7

Ontario Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Facility Quality Criteria

11 Appendix A: Stages of Valvular Aortic Stenosis⁵

12 Appendix B: Acronyms and Abbreviations

13 References

About CorHealth Ontario

As of June 22, 2017, we are CorHealth Ontario, an organization formed by the merger of the Cardiac Care Network of Ontario and the Ontario Stroke Network, with an expanded mandate spanning cardiac, stroke and vascular through the entire course of care including secondary prevention, rehabilitation and recovery. CorHealth Ontario proudly advises the Ministry of Health and Long - Term Care (MOHLTC), Local Health Integration Networks, hospitals, and care providers to improve the quality, efficiency, accessibility and equity of cardiac, stroke and vascular services for patients across Ontario. For more information, <u>visit corhealthontario.ca</u>.

Ontario Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Patient Eligibility Criteria Guidelines

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is an established therapy in the treatment of symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS). In 2016 Ontario Health Technology Advisory Committee (OHTAC) recommended TAVI should be considered as a viable treatment option in patients who are not candidates for surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR), or patients for whom SAVR is deemed to be high risk¹.

The role of TAVI has continued to evolve and in March 2020 OHTAC recommended TAVI should be considered as a viable treatment option in patients who are at intermediate surgical risk. Later that year in November 2020 OHTAC recommended TAVI should be considered as a viable treatment option in patients who are at low surgical risk.

Determination of patient acceptance for the procedure will be made by the hospital's designated Interdisciplinary Heart Team, whereby criteria and factors outlined in the following sections are considered. Patients should be evaluated by minimum a cardiac surgeon and cardiologist with knowledge and experience in both TAVI and SAVR², as well as appropriate subspecialties as determined by the Interdisciplinary Heart Team.

¹ TAVI for Treatment of Aortic Valve Stenosis: OHTAC Recommendation (2016) AATS/ACC/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus on Operator and Institutional Requirements for TAVR (2017)

Considerations in determining patients who are suitable for TAVI:

1. Symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS) with

a. metrics of valve anatomy, valve hemodynamics, and hemodynamic consequences consistent with (as defined in Appendix A):

- i. high-gradient AS
- ii. low-flow/low-gradient AS with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF); or
- iii. low-gradient AS with normal LVEF or paradoxical low-flow severe AS

b. severity of symptoms due to AS (angina, shortness of breath, syncope) deemed acceptable for valve intervention, as determined through shared decision making by the patient and the Interdisciplinary Heart Team.

- 2. Low, Intermediate, High or Inoperable Surgical Risk
- 3. Assessment by and agreement of the Interdisciplinary Heart Team, with explicit consideration of patient preference, elicited using a shared decision making framework.



Considerations in determining patients who are not suitable for TAVI:

- 1. Anatomic unsuitability of the valve or inability to access the valve
- 2. Patient is considered unlikely to experience an improvement in life expectancy and quality of life. Factors considered may include³:
 - ≥3 major organ system compromises not to be improved post-TAVI.
 - Severe frailty: \geq 4 indices based on Katz index (independence in feeding, bathing, dressing, transferring, toileting, and urinary incontinence) and independence in ambulation (walk 5 m in < 6 s).
 - PARTNER TAVI score or FRANCE 2 TAVI score \geq 8 (30-day mortality risk >25%).
 - See Table 1 for additional medical comorbidities and factors which have been shown to predict poorer outcomes post-TAVI.

Table 1

Medical Comorbidity	Factors Specifically Associated with Futility ³			
Chronic Lung disease	 6 minute walk test < 150m Oxygen dependency 			
Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease	• Dialysis dependence			
Frailty	 >2 frailty indices (Katz activities of daily living + mobility status) 			
Cardiovascular Conditions	 LVEF<30% Pre-capillary or combined pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure # >25mmHg) Low trans-aortic gradient Impaired contractile reserve Low flow state (<35 mL/m²) Organic severe mitral regurgitation 			
Additional factors for consideration ⁴				
Peripheral artery disease				

- Cognitive functioning using objective techniques such as Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), depression screen
- Neurological disorders such as movement disorders or dementia
- Advanced oncology conditions

³ Predicting TAVI Futility (Puri et. al., 2016)

⁴ American College of Cardiology Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for TAVR in AS Management (2017)

Imaging Assessment of Anatomic/Functional Factors⁴

- TTE assessment of valve morphology (trileaflet, bicuspid, or unicuspid), valve calcification, leaflet motion, annular size and shape.⁴
- TTE assessment of valve function: maximum aortic velocity, mean aortic valve gradient, aortic valve area, stroke volume index, presence and severity of aortic regurgitation. Dobutamine stress echocardiography may be considered for severely reduced LV function and a low transaortic gradient.⁴
- TTE assessment of LV geometry and other cardiac findings: LVEF, regional wall motion; hypertrophy, diastolic function; pulmonary pressure estimate; mitral valve (MR, MS, MAC).
- Accurate measurement of annulus size by TAVI CTA*-gated contrast-enhanced CT thorax with multiphasic acquisition.⁴
- Aortic root measurements with gated contrast-enhanced CT thorax with multiphasic acquisition
- Assessment for coronary disease and thoracic anatomy with coronary angiography and nongated thoracic CTA.⁴
- May consider carotid ultrasound or cerebrovascular MRI depending on clinical history.⁴
- Assess vascular access with imaging modality appropriate for patient renal function e.g. TAVI CTA*, contrast MRA, femoral angiography etc.⁴

*TAVI CTA: Unless otherwise noted, refers to a single arterial phase CTA of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis. Typically, the thorax is acquired using ECG-gated multiphase acquisition. At minimum acquisition and reconstruction should include end systole, usually between 30% and 40% of the R-R window. Given use of CT, the role in annular sizing prior to TAVI with TEE is limited. Periprocedural use of TEE is limited to cases performed.

Note: Integration of measurements by both TEE and TTE shall be from a facility that meets CorHealth Ontario's Standards for Provision of Echocardiography in Ontario

⁴ American College of Cardiology Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for TAVR in AS Management (2017)

Ontario Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Facility Quality Criteria

Procedure Volumes Criteria

The hospital program must maintain the following:

- Minimum TAVI volume: 50 cases per year.
- Minimum SAVR volume: 40 cases per year.²
- Minimum vascular arterial intervention volume: 150 cases over prior 3 years.²
- Pacemaker capabilities²:
 - Experienced and competent physicians for temporary and permanent pacemaker placement and management.
 - On-site services should be available 24 hours/day and 7 days/week to handle conduction disturbances as a result of the TAVI procedure.



² AATS/ACC/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus on Operator and Institutional Requirements for TAVR (2017)

FACILITY CRITERIA						
Criteria Description	Method of Evaluation					
1. Catheterization Lab/ hybrid operating suite with fixed x-ray imaging						
Programs performing TAVI procedures require a cardiac catheterization lab/ hybrid operating suite with fixed x-ray imaging system with flat- panel fluoroscopy, offering quality imaging.	 Operating room/catheterization lab environment shall: Have sufficient space (approx. 800 sq ft), in a sterile environment, to accommodate necessary personnel, anaesthesia equipment, echocardiography machines, intra-aortic balloon pumps, and cardiopulmonary bypass machines. 					
2. Anaesthesia facilities						
Programs performing TAVI procedures shall ensure anesthesia equipment, drugs and supplies meet the same standards as those for conventional operating theatres (Canadian Anesthesiologist Society).	 Anaesthesia facilities shall: Ensure standardized anaesthesia equipment is available and maintained as per the organization's standard operating procedures. 					
3. Access to imaging	·					
 Programs providing TAVI procedures are required to have access to: Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE). Transesophageal Echocardiography (TEE). Vascular Ultrasound, Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Peripheral Angiography. CT Lab, Multidetector Computed Tomography (MDCT). 	 Imaging services shall: Achieve CorHealth Ontario's certification in Standards for Provision of Echocardiography in Ontario Include Cardiac Sonographers and Echocardiologist (Level 3 training) who have experience in valvular disease 					
4. Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Facilities						
Programs providing TAVI procedures will have access to a post-procedure Level 3 ICU.	 ICU facility shall: Ensure healthcare team members are experienced in managing patients who have undergone open-heart valve procedures. 					
5. Other facilities/services						
 On-site heart valve surgery program. Perfusion services. Renal replacement therapy. Vascular surgery. Peripheral vascular interventional expertise. Physical space/setup for the TAVI clinic to sup 	port patient assessment.					

CLINICAL SERVICES CRITERIA

Criteria Description

Method of Evaluation

6. Multidisciplinary Heart Team

Programs performing TAVI must have a functioning Interdisciplinary Heart Team in order to optimize patient outcomes. The interdisciplinary heart team is defined as all members of the program required from initial pre-procedural work-up, eligibility determination, procedural care, post-TAVI in-hospital care, and subsequent outpatient follow-up. The roles of each team member may vary by individual site, however sites will have specific documentation of each role and a documented process for determining patient eligibility.

Core Interdisciplinary Heart Team shall be composed of the following members:

Member	Responsibility		
Cardiac Surgeon	Patient Eligibility/Overall Patient Care		
Interventional Cardiologist	Patient Eligibility/Overall Patient Care		
TAVI Care Coordinator	Patient Eligibility/Pre and Post-Procedural Patient Care		
Imaging Specialist	Pre-procedural Diagnostic Eval- uation		
Echocardiologist	Pre and Post-procedural Diagnos- tic Evaluation		
Cardiac Anesthesiologist	Procedural Patient Care		
OR/Cath Lab Nurses	Procedural Patient Care		

Core Interdisciplinary Heart Team shall have access to the following adjunct members as needed:

- Vascular Surgery
- Neurology
- Cardiology/Heart Failure Specialist
- Electrophysiology
- Internal Medicine
- Perfusionists
- Respirology
- Nephrology
- Geriatrician
- DI/Medical Imaging
- Imaging Technicians
- Vascular Lab Technicians
- ICU/CVICU/CICU Nursing and Allied
- Health (Physiotherapy, Occupational Therapy, Pharmacy, Social Work, Chaplin)
- Other relevant members
- Nurse Practitioner
- Oncology

Interdisciplinary Heart Team shall:

- Determine patient eligibility through evaluation by both a cardiac surgeon and interventional cardiologist for each case. A documented process must be in place to ensure this co-evaluation is operationalized.
- Utilize the Ontario TAVI Patient Eligibility Criteria Guidelines to inform patient selection.
 - Commit to principles of shared decision making which may include:
 - Ensure patients and families are given comprehensive information on the various operative and non-operative treatment options
 - Ensure patient and family educational materials reflect an appropriate health literacy level
 - Ensure patients and families have direct access to representatives of each specialty
- Remain current with new and evolving evidence as it relates to TAVI.
- Utilize appropriate evidence based guidelines (where applicable) to inform decision making.
- Ensure opportunity to train and work together regularly.
- Engage in strategies to continuously improve team functions.
- Ensure protocol-driven standardized approaches to patient care, discharge planning, and follow-up which may include:
 - Utilize standardized care pathways that include optimization of Length of Stay (LOS)
 - Ensure assessment of patient readiness for discharge
 - Emphasize specific issues that would warrant immediate contact with the Heart Team
 - Arrange follow-up appointments as appropriate
 - Arrange for rehabilitation when deemed appropriate
 - Standardize communications with referring physicians

CLINICAL SERVICES CRITERIA						
Criteria Description	Method of Evaluation					
7. Hospital Administration						
Programs providing TAVI procedures will have a dedicated hospital administrator as part of the team.	 Hospital Administrator shall: Ensure all necessary infrastructure are in place to adequately support the TAVI program. 					
8. Training						
Programs providing TAVI procedures will ensure ongoing relevant training for the Interdisciplinary Heart Team.	 Programs shall: Ensure Interdisciplinary Heart Team remains up to date with new and evolving evidence as it relates to TAVI. 					
9. CorHealth Ontario Registry Participation						
Programs providing TAVI will engage in ongoing outcome evaluation.	 Programs shall: Participate in CorHealth Ontario Registry Including all data elements required for quality monitoring as determined by CorHealth Ontario Participate in ongoing evaluation to ensure volume criteria are maintained 					
10. Quality Improvement						
Programs providing TAVI procedures will engage in quality improvement processes.	Programs shall:Participate in ongoing outcome measurement					

Appendix A: Stages of Valvular Aortic Stenosis⁵

Stage	Definition	Valve Anatomy	Valve Hemodynamics	Hemodynamic Consequences	Symptoms
A	At risk of AS	 Bicuspid aortic valve (or other congenital valve anomaly) Aortic valve sclerosis 	• Aortic V _{max} <2 m/s	• None	• None
В	Progressive AS	 Mild-to-moderate leaflet calcification of a bicuspid or trileaflet valve with some reduction in systolic motion or Rheumatic valve changes with commissural fusion 	 Mild AS: Aortic V_{max} 2.0–2.9 m/s or mean ΔP <20 mm Hg Moderate AS: Aortic V_{max} 3.0–3.9 m/s or mean ΔP 20–39 mm Hg 	 Early LV diastolic dysfunction may be present Normal LVEF 	• None
C: Asyn	nptomatic severe	AS			
C1	Asymptomatic severe AS	 Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening 	 Aortic V_{max} >4 m/s or mean ΔP >40 mm Hg AVA typically is ≤1.0 cm² (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm²/m²) Very severe AS is an aortic V_{max} >5 m/s or mean ΔP ≥60 mm Hg 	 LV diastolic dysfunction Mild LV hypertrophy Normal LVEF 	 None: Exercise testing is reasonable to confirm symptom status
C2	Asymptomatic severe AS with LV dysfunction	 Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening 	 Aortic V_{max} ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg AVA typically ≤1.0 cm² (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm²/m²) 	• LVEF <50%	• None
D: Sym	ptomatic severe /	AS			
D1	Symptomati severe high- gradient AS	 Severe leaflet calcification or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening 	 Aortic V_{max} ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg AVA typically ≤1.0 cm² (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm²/m²) but may be larger with mixed AS/AR 	 LV diastolic dysfunction LV hypertrophy Pulmonary hypertension may be present 	 Exertional dyspnea or decreased exercise tolerance Exertional angina Exertional syncope or presyncope
D2	Symptomatic severe low- flow/low- gradient AS with reduced LVEF	• Severe leaflet calcification with severely reduced leaflet motion	 AVA ≤1.0 cm² with resting aortic V_{max} <4 m/s or mean ΔP <40 mm Hg Dobutamine stress echocardiography shows AVA ≤1.0 cm² with V_{max} ≥4 m/s at any flow rate 	 LV diastolic dysfunction LV hypertrophy LVEF <50% 	 HF Angina Syncope or presyncope
D3	Symptomatic severe low- gradient AS with normal LVEF or paradoxical low-flow severe AS	 Severe leaflet calcification with severely reduced leaflet motion 	 AVA ≤1.0 cm² with aortic V_{max} <4 m/s or mean ΔP <40 mm Hg Indexed AVA ≤0.6 cm²/m² and Stroke volume index <35 mL/m² Measured when patient is normotensive (systolic BP < <140 mm Hg) 	 Increased LV relative wall thickness Small LV chamber with low stroke volume Restrictive diastolic filling LVEF ≥50% 	 HF Angina Syncope or presyncope

Appendix B: Acronyms and Abbreviations

- AATS = American Association for Thoracic Surgery
- ACC = American College of Cardiology
- AHA =American Heart Association
- AR = aortic regurgitation
- AS = aortic stenosis
- AV = atrioventricular
- AVA = aortic valve area
- AVAi = aortic valve area indexed to body surface area
- AVR = aortic valve replacement
- BP = blood pressure
- CT = computed tomography
- CTA = computed tomography angiography
- ECG = electrocardiogram
- FRANCE 2 = French Aortic National CoreValve and Edwards 2
- HF = heart failure
- LV = left ventricular
- LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction
- MAC = mitral annular calcification
- MR = mitral regurgitation
- MRA = magnetic resonance angiogram
- MS = mitral stenosis
- PARTNER = Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valves
- SAVR = surgical aortic valve replacement
- SCAI =Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions
- STS = Society of Thoracic Surgeons
- TAVI = transcatheter aortic valve implantation
- TEE = transesophageal echocardiography
- TTE = transthoracic echocardiography
- V_{max} = maximum aortic velocity
- ΔP = pressure gradient

References

- Health Quality Ontario. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation for treatment of aortic valve stenosis: a health technology assessment. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser [Internet]. 2016 November;16(19):1-94. Available from: Accessed November 2017 from: <u>http://www.hqontario.ca/evidence-to-improve-care/journal-ontario-health-technology-assessment-series</u>
- Bavaria JE, Tommaso CL, Carroll JD, Deeb GM, Feldman TE, Gleason TG, Horlick EM, Kavinsky CJ, Kumbhani DJ, Miller DC, Seals AA, Shemin RJ, Sundt TM, Thourani VH. 2017 AATS/ACC/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus Systems of Care Document: Operator and Institutional Requirements for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; XX:XXX-XX.
 [Peer review and public comment draft – accessed November 2017 from: <u>http:// www.acc.org/~/media/Non-Clinical/Files-PDFs-Excel-MS-Word-etc/Public-Comment-Files/2017/2017-AATS-ACC-SCAI-STS-Expert-Consensus-Statement-TAVR-Requirements]
 </u>
- 3. Puri, R., lung, B., Cohen, D., Rodés-Cabau, J. TAVI or No TAVI: identifying patients unlikely to benefit from transcatheter aortic valve implantation. European Heart Journal 2016; 37: 2217-2225.
- 4. Otto CM, Kumbhani DJ, Alexander KP, Calhoon JH, Desai MY, Kaul S, Lee JC, Ruiz CE, Vassileva CM. 2017 ACC expert consensus decision pathway for transcatheter aortic valve replacement in the management of adults with aortic stenosis: a report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:1313–46.
- Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP III, Guyton RA, O'Gara PT, Ruiz CE, Skubas NJ, Sorajja P, SundtTM III, Thomas JD. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:e57–185.
- Holmes DR Jr., Mack MJ, Kaul S, Agnihotri A, Alexander KP, Bailey SR, Calhoon JH, Carabello BA, Desai MY, Edwards FH, Francis GS, Gardner TJ, Kappetein AP, Linderbaum JA, Mukherjee C, Mukherjee D, Otto CM, Ruiz CE, Sacco RL, Smith D, Thomas JD. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Ann Thorac Surg 2012;93:1340 – 95.
- 7. Webb, J., Rodés-Cabau, J., Fremes, S., Pibarot, P., Ruel, M., et. al. Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: A Canadian Cardiovascular Society Position Statement. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 28 (2012): 520-528.
- 8. Carroll, J., Vemulapalli, S., Dai, D., Matsouaka, R., Blackstone, E., et. al. Procedural Experience for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement and Relation to Outcomes. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 70 (2017): 29-41.
- Ontario Health. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in patients with severe aortic valve stenosis at low surgical risk: recommendation [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Queen's Printer for Ontario; 2020 Nov. 5 pp. Available from: <u>https://www.hqontario.ca/evidence-to-improve-care/health-technologyassessment/reviews-andrecommendations/transcatheter-aortic-valve-implantation-in-patientswith-severe-aortic-valve-stenosis-and-lowsurgical-risk
 </u>
- 10. Ontario Health (Quality). Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in patients with severe, symptomatic aortic valve stenosis at intermediate surgical risk: recommendation [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Queen's Printer for Ontario; 2020 Mar. 4 p. Available from: <u>https://</u> <u>www.hqontario.ca/Evidence-to-Improve-Care/Health-Technology-Assessment/ReviewsAnd-Recommendations/Transcatheter-Aortic-Valve-Implantation-in-Patients-With-SevereSymptomatic-Aortic-Valve-Stenosis-at-Intermediate-Surgical-Risk
 </u>